# Modeling Infant Mortality in Epidemiology Using Bayesian Hierarchical Approach

Rasheed A. Adeyemi<sup>1</sup> and Abiodun D. Obaromi

Department of Statistics, Federal University of Technology, Minna, Nigeria

 \*<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: Rasheed A. Adeyemi, Email: rashid.adeyemi@futminna.edu.ng;
 Department of Statistics, School of Physical sciences, Federal University of Technology, Minna - Nigeria.

#### Abstract

The study proposed hierarchical Bayesian model to simultaneously capture the overdispersion due to the effectof varying population sizes across states andspatial autocorrelation inherent in the infant mortality at small-arealevel in Nigeria. A crosssectional study among 31842 children were extracted from 2013 Nigeria demographic and Health Survey data. Out of which 2886 children died before reaching the age five years. The standardized mortality ratio (SMR) was estimated and mapped to highlightunusual cluster of the child mortality. Poisson regression model with random effects are formulated to capture spatial heterogeneity of geographical inequalities in child mortality. A full Bayesian framework via Markov chain Monte Carlos (McMC) simulation was used to estimate model parameters in WinBUGS. The results showed that economically deprived households, 2.088: 95% CI(1.088, 3.165) were significantlyassociated with childhood mortality, while unhygienic sanitary and unimproved water source were not significant. The probability maps detected clusters of high prevalence mortality in the northern regions andrelatively low prevalence in south-west region of Nigeria. Our approach estimated the geographical variation as well as potential risk factors of infant mortality. The findings can assist relevant agency with information for the initiating public health interventions and child survival.

**Keywords**: Child mortality, Poisson mixed model, Health Geography, Spatial epidemiology

# 1 Introduction

Childhood mortality reduction remains a top priority even in the new sustainable development goals. One of the potential threats to the global efforts on child survival is the infant death clustering, which contribute about 38% of under-five mortality. Five countries accounted for half of theglobal childhood mortality with Nigeriabeing the third largest contributor to the under-five mortality among the children in sub-Saharan Africa (Black*et al.* 2003). In 2013, the mortality rates for the five countries stood at: India (24%), Pakistan (10%) Nigeria (9%), the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) (4%) and Ethiopia (3%) as reported in You *et al.* (2013). According to a UNICEF/World Bank report, the prevalent of high child mortality is concentrated in four Sub-Saharan Africancountries of Malawi, Nigeria, Tanzania and Zambia.

The major contributory causes of child mortality could be attributed to individual family povertylevel and poor household's environment, which are highly concentrated in rural areas or slums in urban cities (Fotso, 2006; Isunju*et al.* (2011). The household poverty and poor environment could exacerbate healthproblems and disease prevalence among children, hence high mortality risks.

The objective of this study is to explore the extent to which the population-level risk factors explain the variation of infant mortality rates and to highlight the high risk

areas by using recently developed statistical methodologies, which incorporate an ecological analysis into Bayesian disease mapping study. The statistical challenges of geographical analysis of health have to deal with methodological uncertainties as well as social and political issues. Methodological uncertainties are caused by the issues of ecological fallacy, scale, the modifiable areal unit problem (MAUP), and spatial autocorrelation (Shaw*et al.* 2001; Wong, 2009). The problems can be inherent in making inference about sub-population or area characteristics as individual within the population. The statistical issues with disease mapping model involved small area estimation of aggregateddata over small areas required taking into account local spatial correlation as stated in studies by Ancelet*et al.* (2012)and Wakefield(2006).

This study is therefore motivated using exploratory method via a standardized mortality ratio (SMR) tohighlight the unusual clustering and over-dispersion in the count data. The study then proposed Bayesian hierarchical models to identify areas (regions) with an unusual mortality risk and estimate the geographical inequalities in the number of children death acrossthe districts (states) in Nigeria within a full Bayesian framework.

### 2 Material and Methods

### 2.1 Study Area and Data

For the purpose of the present study, Figure1 shows the geographical map of Nigeria showing 36 states and Federal Capital Territory, Abuja Nigeria and comprises of 6 geopolitical regions: North-East, North-West, North-Central, South-East, South-South, and South-West which are sub-divided into 36 administrative states and the Federal Capital Territory (FCT). Thepopulation groupings within the geopolitical regions and states are relatively homogeneous. Also, the people's cultural beliefs, demographic characteristics, arid environment and socioeconomic status are considered similar within the geopolitical zones and states.



Figure 1: Map of Nigeria showing 37 districts (36 states and Federal Capital Territory (FCT)-Abuja

# Data

The 2013 NDHS survey conducted by the DHS Measure used a multi-stage cluster design consisting of 40,320 households in 904 clusters, with 372 in urban areas and 532 in rural areas. The data variables extracted from 2013 Nigeria Demographic and Health Survey (NDHS) for the presentstudy include: the number of children born between 2008 and 2013, number of children alive andcounts of child deaths at the time of the survey, proportion of poorest and poor households, number of cases (children) with diarrhea two weeks prior to the survey), number of householdsthat used solid cooking fuels such as, coal, charcoal, fire wood, cow dung and agricultural crop residues. The detailed procedure has been reported elsewherein National Bureau of Statistics (2014) DHS report.

# 2.2 Model Framework

In disease mapping, the first step is the removal of the effect of the confounding factors on therisk estimate in the study population through distribution standardizationaccording to Woodward (2013).

Consider the infant death counts,  $Y_k$  aggregated over a state, k say, index for states 37 (k = 1, ..., 37), where the mother k resides in Nigeria and  $E_k$  represents the corresponding expected death count at state, k. In this study, the standardized mortality ratio(or SMR for short) is calculated as

$$SMR = \frac{Y_k}{E_k} \tag{1}$$

and

$$E_{k} = n_{k} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{37} Y_{ik}}{\sum_{k=1}^{37} n_{k}} \right)$$

where in equation (1),  $SMR_k$  is the standardized mortality ratio, which is the ratio of observed number of child death cases to the expected number of cases in the  $k^{th}$  state, representing the risk of each  $k^{th}$  small area (state). However, this estimate is biased, because it is based only on a sample size of one. Hence, it is not really statistically useful because it is a saturated model. Further readings can be found in Lawson *et al.* (2000) andClayton and Kaldor (1987).

### 2.3 Hierarchical Disease Mapping Models

In many epidemiological studies, mortality rates mapping or disease incidence could provide important information for resource allocation and disease management. The models commonly explored are expected to capture the effects of spatial dependence and over-dispersion in the data. The Poisson-Gamma model is often used to model the relative risk of the number of child mortality in a small area, where the relative risk combines the Poisson likelihood function of the death countsand Gamma prior distribution to yield a gamma posterior distribution for the relative riskasdetails described in Clayton (1992).

#### Model 1: Poisson Gamma Model

Let  $y_k$  and  $E_k$ ; k = 1, ..., 37, denote the observed and expected number of death cases in district (state) k. We assume the death count,  $y_k \sim Poisson(E\mathcal{P})$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the unknown relative risk and Poisson mean  $\mu$  is modeled as

$$\mu_i = E \mathcal{G} \,. \tag{2}$$

We assume that  $\mathscr{G}$  follows a gamma distribution, i.e.  $\mathscr{G} = Gamma(a,b)$  for k = 1, ..., 37, wherein our study k=37 districts (states) in Nigeria. By combining the likelihood and the prior distribution, the posterior mean of the relative riskis obtained as

$$E(\mathcal{G} \mid a, b, y_k) = \frac{a+y}{b+y} = w_k SMR + (1-w_i)\frac{y_k}{E_k}$$
(3)

where  $w_k = \frac{b}{b + E_k}$  represents the weighted average that indicates on how much the

posterior mean shrunk towards the individual expected value  $E_i$  as explained in Lawson (2013). One advantage of the Poisson-gamma model is that it provides a simplified way to accommodate over-dispersion in the model. A drawback is that Poisson-gamma model does not permit the inclusion of covariate(s) in themodel (Dabney and Wakefield, 2005).

### Model 2: Besag, York and Mollie (BYM) Model

BYM model was introduced firstly by Besag (1974) and later extended by Besag and Mollie (1991). The BYM model was then split into two spatial (correlated) random and heterogeneity (uncorrelated) random components, where  $v_i$  and  $u_i$  are unstructured and structured spatial random effects respectively.

With the infant death counts assume Poisson distribution, i.e.  $y_i \sim Poisson(E\mathcal{G})$  as in equation (2) above, then the log relative risk,  $\mathcal{G}$  is modeled via the linear link function as

$$\log(\mu) = \log(E) + \log(\theta) = \log(E) + \eta$$

$$\eta = \log(\theta) = X'\beta + u_i + v_i$$

$$v_i \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2)$$

$$u_i \mid u_j, i \neq j, \sim N\left(\sum_j \frac{w_{ij}u_j}{w_{ij}}, \frac{\sigma_u^2}{w_{ij}}\right),$$
(4)

Where  $\eta$  is the predictors,  $i \neq j$  denotes state *i* is adjacent to *j* (neighbours) takes value  $w_{ij} = 1$  and zero if they are not. *X* is a vector of covariates (such as proportion of poor households, unimproved source of drinking water, unprotected toilet, children having diarrhea, proportion of mothers used solid fuels (coal, wood, agricultural residues cow dung etc.) as cooking method. The *9* reflects the amount of extra Poisson variation in the data as stated in Lawson (2013) and Lawson *et al.* (2000). The precision parameters  $\tau_u^2$  and  $\tau_v^2$  control the variability of *u* and *v* respectively.

The parameter estimation was executed via Bayesian MarkovChain Monte Carlo Convergence of the MCMC was reached at 15000iterations after a burn-in period of 5,000 sample and thinning of every 90th element of the chain. The statistical inference is based on full Bayesian framework and prior distributions were specified for the model parameters. The posterior estimates and 95% credible intervals are used to explain the model results as presented in Table 2 below.

The model performance was investigated via deviance information criterion (DIC) which is due toSpiegelhalter*et al.* (2002) given as  $DIC = D(\hat{\theta}) + pD$ , where *D* is the posterior mean of the deviance and  $\hat{\theta}$  is the vector of model parameters, pD is the number of effective parameters in the model that penalizes the complexity of the model. DIC takes into account both the model fit (summarized by *D*) and model complexity (captured by PD) when comparing models. Therefore, the model having

smaller value of DIC is the most preferred model as it has achieved a more optimal combination of fit and parsimony.

### 2.4 Data application and Results

All model parameters are estimated in WinBUGS (Spiegelhalter *et al.*, 2002) and data manipulation was done in R programming (Teem 2014). The parameter estimation was done using Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo via Gibbs Sampling and convergence achieved after 15,000 iterations in a burn-in period of 5,000 samples and thinning of every 90th element of the chain. The hyper-parameter priordistributions as using for the precision parameters,  $\tau_u^2$ ,  $\tau_v^2$  and  $\tau_\beta^2$  are Gamma distributions as  $\tau_u^2 \sim \Gamma(0.05,0.005)$ ,  $\tau_v^2 \sim \Gamma(0.05,0.005)$  and  $\tau_\beta^2 \sim \Gamma(0.05,0.01)$  respectively. The regression coefficients of the covariates in the model areassumed to be normally distributed given as,  $\beta \sim N(0,0.005)$ .

Table 1 presents the estimates of the parameters and goodness of fit for the hierarchical models discussed in the previous section.

Model	Name	$D(\theta)$	pD	DIC
M1	PG	256.885	31.217	288.10
M2	BYM	260.267	25.043	285.31

Table 1: Deviance information criteria (DIC) and model goodness of fit based on 2013 Nigeria DHS

BYM model each provides important information about clustering of the infant mortality with the incorporation of the relative risk pattern and over dispersion in the model. One would recommend that the BYM was the best fitted model for Nigerian infant mortality data, since it yielded the lowest value of theDIC = 285:310 and with a lower pD= 25.04. However, thus the BYMmodel is the most preferred model due to its robustness, at the same time one can evaluate the proportion of variation that can

be attributed to spatial dependence (clustering) and thevariation due to random heterogeneity effect structure of the mortality prevalence.

The posterior mean and 95 % credible intervals statistics of the fitted hierarchical are presented I Table (2). It can be observed thatthe posterior mean of Poisson-Gamma model 0.923: 95% CI(0.826, 1.030) is approximately the same as the mean of the standardized mortality ratio, SMR= 0.920(standard deviation sd=0.306). The overall population parameters, a = 10.310; (6.232, 15.970) and b = 11.20 CI(6.680; 17.350) from the Poisson Gamma model. Thevariance component parameters from the BYM model with CAR precision variance,  $\tau_u^2 = 56.98$ ; 95% CI(6.104, 339.0) and  $\sigma_u =$ 0.291. In other words, the small value of standard deviation,  $\sigma_u = 0.291$  of spatial structured random effects, it means that the neighbor are dependent. The uncorrelated random effect of BYM model has precision variance,  $\tau_v^2$ , 330.60; 95%CI (23.84, 2101) and  $\sigma_v = 0.0991$ . One can also deduce that the proportion of the variation that is due to clustering as 69.06% and the proportion of variability attributed to the heterogeneity random effect is 30.93%.The results revealed that the geographic patterns of infant mortality rate in Nigeria exhibits more clustering prevalence by states than the geographical disparities as evidenced from the estimates.

	M1: PG			M2: BYM		
	Mean	95 % CI		Mean 95 % CI		
$eta_0$	-	-	-	-0.138	-0.196	-0.080
a	10.31	6.23	15.97	-	-	-
b	11.20	6.68	17.35	-	-	-
μ	0.92	0.83	1.03	-	-	-
$\sigma^{2}$	0.09	0.05	0.14	-	-	-
$eta_1$	-	-	-	0.173	-0.372	0.730
$eta_2$	-	-	-	0.353	-0.190	0.851
$eta_3$	-	-	-	-0.226	-0.771	0.333
$eta_4$	-	-	-	2.003	1.101	3.006
$eta_5$	-	-	-	-0.516	-1.591	0.430
${ au_u}^2$	-	-	-	56.98	6.104	339
$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle u}$	-	-	-	0.221	0.054	0.405
${ au_v}^2$	-	-	-	330.60	23.84	2101
$\sigma_{_{v}}$	-	-	-	0.099	0.022	0.205

**Table 2**: Posterior estimates and 95% credible intervals of model parameters andecological covariateon infant mortality based on 2013 Nigeria DHS.

( $\beta_1$  = Diarrhea,  $\beta_2$  = proportion of unhygienic toilet /poor sanitary facility,  $\beta_3$  = proportion of unimproved drinking water,

 $\beta_4$  = proportion of poor household and  $\beta_5$  = cooking sources proportion of population using solid fuels (coal, charcoal, crop residues)

In addition, the covariates estimates are presented along with 95 % credible intervals in Table 2. The results revealed that the estimated overall (intercept) relative risk effect of the models are with model M2: That is  $\beta_0 = -0.138, 95\%$  CI (-0.200, -0.080), indicating that he overall risk was significantly different from zero. The overall relative risk indicates that a decreasing (negative coefficient) relative risk of childhood mortality by keeping the determinant factors constant. Furthermore, the household poverty variables were significant and positive for all the BYM: 2.003, 95% CI (1.101, 3.006). The results showed that a unit increase in household poverty would lead to increase the relative mortality risks among the children who belong to most economically deprived households. Other covariates in the model did not show strong evidence of significant effect on the child mortality. However, the children who suffered from diarrhea and unhygienic toilet/sanitation had higher risk of dying before reaching the age five (i.e. positive association with the under five child mortality), although the association is not significant. Children of mothers, who used solid fuels (such as charcoal/coal/wood or agricultural residues) for cooking and drinking from unprotected water were at lower risk of childhood mortality (negative coefficient), though the effects were not insignificant.

### 4.4 Geographical disparities and classification of risk regions

The risk map for BYM models analysis is classified intocolour intervals of fivequintiles range from low (blue) to high (red) prevalence of mortality. The probability risk map displayed in Figure 2represents smooth map of mortality and the associated exceedance probability of risk value greaterthan 1. The map indicates that a relatively high prevalence of child mortality is detected in the north regions with clusters of high child mortality found in the North-west and north- East regions, and

in Ebonyi state in south east part of the country. A relatively low prevalence occurred in south western states of the country. The map indicated that there was significantly high prevalence of infant mortality in states. The states with predicted high prevalence are Sokoto, Ebonyi, Bauchi, Kebbi, Jigawa and Zamfara, while a relative low and significant prevalence of infant mortality occurred in 17 states using the 95% credible intervals.



Figure 2: Relative Risk of childhood mortality prevalence and significance probability (RR > 1.000) for BYM model based on 2013 Nigeria DHS

### 5 Discussion

In this study, Bayesian hierarchical model was employed to assess the child mortality risk andpotential risk factors such as socio-cultural and environmental factors for the infant mortality in Nigeria. The strength of the approach is the ability to incorporate high over-dispersion, spatial structure and covariates into the models. The usage of Poisson version of generalized Poisson (G-Poisson) distributions in this study was in accordance with empirical studies, although negative binomial models have been used as alternative models for rare disease mapping of count data. The Poisson based models are often used when dealing with rare diseases. Previous studies have explored mixture models. For example, a study conducted by Neyens and Molenberghs (2012), where they had combined convolution model and Poisson-

gamma model to account for both over dispersion and spatial correlation to model the kidney and prostate cancer data.

The result showed that the household poverty was significantly associated with under-five mortality in Nigeria. In other words, an economically deprived household would have higherlikelihood of childhood mortality. This finding corroborates what has been established inprevious studies by Adeyemi*et al.* (2019). Empirical studies have also shown that people living conditions and household povertyvirtually influence the totality of demographic structure and health indices, including lifefacilities, and even human capital development among others as reported inAdeyemi*et al.* (2019) and González *et al.* (2007).For instance, Adeyemi*et al.* (2009) used DHS data 1990-2008 and found thathousehold wealth had strong association with not only under-five mortality, but the household members' lifeexpectancy, maternal mortality and morbidity, fertility, contraceptive use and use ofhealthcare.

The results further revealed that unimproved source of drinking water, poor toilet and sanitary conditions were positively associated with childhood mortality, although these factors were notsignificant. This finding was in agreement with a study conducted byde Sherbinin (2011), who investigated biophysical and geographical variables into their model on child malnutrition and found significantly correlation between child malnutrition and environmental factors such as drought prevalence, the percentage of households with piped water, and diarrhea disease prevalence. Other studies have identified that inadequate water for food and personal hygiene., and insanitary environments are responsible for about 88 percent of child deaths globally resulting from infectious diseases and diarrhea (Lanata *et al.*, 2013; Cheng *et al.*, 2012;). Our findings were in tandemwith a similar study conducted in Ghana by Bodegom *et al.* (2012) detected non-random patterns(clusters) of high child mortality at village level with a large concentration of polygamous population or nuclear family settings, while Dedefo *et al.*(2016) investigated the small area clustering of under five mortality in Ethiopia.

## 6 Concluding Remarks

The study highlights the social and biological determinants of infant mortality using a Bayesian modeling approach to adjust for inherent correlation between neighbouring sub-nationals (states). The more integrated interventionwill assist policy makers in the country with high infant and child mortality burden. The use of Bayesian hierarchical modeling helps to deal with the problems posed by small area estimation such as missing data at the neighbouring states. The usefulness of these findings is further enhanced by the use of advanced geo- mapping of infant mortality risk and the associated risk factors at the small administrative unit. These spatial maps are able to pinpoint areas within a country with higher levels of mortality, as well as explain the most likely reasons for this persistent problem based on attributable factors. This study underscores the need for exploratory and advanced approaches to assess within-country geographic patterns of all-cause infant mortality using national sub-district level ecological data.

The finding of this study can assist the healthcare givers and government agencies in allocation of health recourse and designing interventions.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the permission granted by DHS, Calverton Macro, USA and National Bureau of Statistics, Abuja-Nigeria to use the DHS data.

#### References

- Adegboye OA (2010). Under five mortality in Nigeria: spatial exploration and spatial scan statistics for cluster detection. International Journal of Statistics and Systems, 5 pg 203-214.
- Adeyemi R. A., Zewotir <u>T. T.</u> and Ramroop <u>S.</u> (2019) "A Bayesian Hierarchical analysis of geographical patterns for child mortality in Nigeria'.' The Open Public Health Journal, vol.13: 247-262 pg 247-262.
- Adeyemi SL, Ijaiya GT, and Raheem UA. (2009) Determinants of poverty in sub-Saharan Africa. African Research Review, pg3(2).
- Ancelet S., Abellan J.J., Del Rio Vilas VJ, Birch C., and Richardson S. (2012) Bayesian shared spatial-component models to combine and borrow strength across sparse disease surveillance sources. Biometrical Journal, , 54(3): pg 385-404.
- Besag J, York J, and Mollie A. (1991) "Bayesian image restoration, with two applications in spatial statistics". Annals of the institute of statistical mathematics, 43(1): pg 1-20.
- Besag J (1974). Spatial interaction and the statistical analysis of lattice systems. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological), pages 192-236.
- Black R. E., Morris S. S., and Bryce J (2003). "Where and why are 10 million children dying every year?" The lancet, 361(9376):2226-2234.
- Bodegom D.V., Eriksson U.K., Houwing-Duistermaat J.J. (2012), and WestendorpR.G.J. Clustering of child mortality in a contemporary polygamous populationin Africa. Biodemography and Social Biology, 58(2):pp 162-172.
- Cheng JJ, Schuster-Wallace CJ, Watt S, Newbold BK, and Mente A. (2012). An ecological quantification of the relationships between water, sanitation and infant, child, and maternal mortality. Environmental Health, 11(1):4.
- Clayton D and Kaldor J. (1987) Empirical Bayes estimates of age-standardized relative risks for use in disease mapping. Biometrics, pages 671-68.

- Clayton DG. (1992) Bayesian methods for mapping disease risk. Geographical and environmental epidemiology: Methods for small-area studies, pages 205-220.
- Dabney AR and Wakefield JC (2005). Issues in the mapping of two diseases. Statistical methods in medical research, 14(1):83-112.
- Dedefo M, Oljira L, and Assefa N. (2016) "Small area clustering of under-five children's mortality and associated factors using geo-additive Bayesian discrete-time survival model in Kersa HDSS", Ethiopia. Spatial and spatio-temporal epidemiology, 16:43-49.
- De Sherbinin A. (2011) The biophysical and geographical correlates of child malnutrition in Africa. Population, Space and Place, 17(1): pg 27-46
- Fotso J. (2006) Child health inequities in developing countries: differences across urban and rural areas. International journal for equity in health, 5(1):9.
- González C, Houweling TAJ, Michael G Marmot, and Eric J Brunner (2007).
  Comparison of physical, public and human assets as determinants of socioeconomic inequalities in contraceptive use in Colombia-moving beyond the household wealth index. International journal for equity in health 2010, 9(1):10.
- Lawson AB (2013) Bayesian disease mapping: hierarchical modeling in spatial epidemiology.CRCPress.
- Lawson AB, Biggeri AB, Boehning D, Lesaffre E, et al. (2000). Disease mapping models: an empirical evaluation. Disease mapping collaborative group. Statistics in medicine, 19(17-18): 2217-41.
- Isunju JB, Schwartz K, Schouten MA, Johnson WP, and van Dijk MP. (2011) Socioeconomic aspects of improved sanitation in slums: a review. Public health, 125(6):368-376.
- National Bureau of Statistics (2014). Nigeria Demographic and Health survey 2013 Macro, ICF Macron Calverton Maryland, USA report 2014.

- Lanata CF, Fischer-Walker CL, Olascoaga AC, et al. Global causes of diarrheal disease mortality in children less than 5 years of age: a systematic review. PloS one 2013, vol 8(9):e72788.
- Neyens T, Faes C, and Molenberghs G. (2012) "A generalized Poisson-Gamma model for spatially overdispersed data". Spatial and spatio-temporal epidemiology, Vol. 3(3): pg185-194.
- Shaw M, Dorling D, Gordon D, and Smith GD. (2001) "Putting time, person and place together: the temporal, social and spatial accumulation of health inequality." Critical Public Health, 11, No. 4 pg 289-304.
- Spiegelhalter DJ, Thomas A, Best NG, and Lunn DJ. (2002) WINBUGS: Bayesian inference using Gibbs sampling manual, version 1.4. London: Imperial College; Cambridge, UK: MRC Biostatistics Unit.
- Team. R (2014). A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing Vienna, Austria.
- Wakefield J. (2006) Disease mapping and spatial regression with count data. Biostatistics, 8(2): 158-183.
- Wong, D. (2009) The modifiable areal unit problem (MAUP). The SAGE handbook of spatialanalysis, 105; 23.
- Woodward M (2013). Epidemiology: study design and data analysis. CRC press.
- You D, Bastian P, Wu J, and Wardlaw T (2013). Levels and trends in child mortality. Report 2013. Estimates developed by the un inter-agency group for child mortality estimation.