OCCUPATIONAL INCIDENTS AND THE ROLE OF DATASHEETS IN WORKFORCE SAFETY AND RISK MANAGEMENT IN DEVELOPING NATIONS: A THEMATIC REVIEW

Adinife Patrick Azodo[1] and Oluwadamilola Oludare Ojebode[2*]
[1]Faculty of Engineering, Federal University Wukari P.M.B 1020, Wukari, Taraba State
[2]Department of Statistics, Federal University of Agriculture, P. M. B. 1124, Abeokuta, Ogun State
[*]ojebodeoluwadamilola07@gmail.com

Abstract:
Underreporting of workplace incidents is still a major problem in many developing countries, especially in blue-collar industries where safety and risk management deficiencies are still present. By raising safety standards, strengthening risk mitigation techniques, and promoting openness, occupational datasheets provide an organized method of resolving these shortcomings. In order to monitor workplace dangers, evaluate employee capacities, and direct focused actions, this review examines the function of standardized occupational datasheets. It finds important obstacles and chances to use data-driven insights to enhance safety procedures, rehabilitation techniques, and policy creation by examining the body of literature already available on occupational health and safety standards. The findings reveal that while datasheets significantly improve hazard identification and compliance, their adoption is hindered by limited digital infrastructure, lack of training, and resistance to change in traditional industries. Case studies highlight that firms utilizing structured safety documentation experience a measurable decline in workplace accidents and enhanced emergency response preparedness. To bridge the gap between developing countries and international workforce safety norms, the assessment also emphasises how important it is to connect incident reporting systems with best practices from throughout the world.
Keywords: Underreporting, datasheets, safety, risk management, developing nations

1.0 Introduction

Occupational incidents in developing nations present a significant public health and economic challenge, with high injury and fatality rates across various industries. Inadequate documentation causes many instances to go unreported, which exacerbates the problem of a lack of reliable data (Ranganathan & Sujatha, 2022). The International Labour Organization (ILO) estimates that 2.78 million people die in workplace accidents per year, with poor countries bearing the brunt of this burden (Debelu et al., 2023). Mainly at risk are high-risk industries like agriculture and healthcare. Agricultural workers report a yearly injury rate of 35 per 100, frequently from cuts and the use of hand tools, whereas about 60% of healthcare workers suffer work-related injuries during their careers (Rabbani & Fatmi, 2018). Inadequate safety training, dangerous working conditions, and excessive workloads are some of the reasons that lead to the high frequency of workplace mishaps (Babović, 2009; Ranganathan & Sujatha, 2022). Particularly in labor-intensive businesses, socioeconomic factors like low income levels and worker demographics increase risks even more (Rabbani & Fatmi, 2018). In addition to the direct health effects, workplace accidents cause significant financial strain on national economies and enterprises. Workplace safety assessments are skewed by underreported injuries (Dorman, 2012), and the need for policy measures that improve data collection and risk management is highlighted by lost productivity, medical expenses, and compensation claims. The absence of standardised reporting procedures remains a significant obstacle despite growing awareness of workplace safety. In high-risk industries like construction and oil and gas, ensuring worker safety requires extensive frameworks that include

personal and process safety precautions (Akano et al., 2024). Hazard identification and mitigation planning are examples of proactive risk management techniques that have proven effective (Yaremko et al., 2021; Michell, 2024). Ensuring long-term employee well-being and addressing changing working conditions require constant monitoring and adaption of safety procedures (Chencheva et al., 2024). Occupational datasheets are essential for improving worker safety because they record occurrences, spot risk trends, and direct preventative actions. The implementation of successful safety initiatives depends on accurate documentation (Nakhal et al., 2021). Furthermore, by turning unprocessed incident reports into actionable insights, data-driven technologies like Business Intelligence (BI) and Machine Learning (ML) can enhance hazard prediction and mitigation tactics (Verma et al., 2018). However, in underdeveloped countries, they are less successful because of issues such as irregular data aggregation, a lack of willingness to report incidents, and low stakeholder engagement (Schuh, 2013; Arnetz et al., 2015). This review examines the role of occupational datasheets in addressing workplace incident underreporting and enhancing risk management strategies in developing nations.

2.0 Methodology

This analysis examines the role that datasheets can play in addressing dangers and safeguarding workers in low-income nations. This technique divides concepts, issues, and opportunities from scholarly articles, corporate reports, and case studies into distinct categories using an organized subject literature review. This study examines modern safety management trends in detail, emphasizing their practicality and use. It examines digital records, Internet of Things-based tracking, and AI-powered risk forecasting.

The authors conducted a thorough literature search using Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, and ScienceDirect. Some of the most crucial sources include expert reports, conference minutes, peer-reviewed publications, and regulatory directives. In the past 15 years, research has gained recognition from stakeholders. This study examines high-risk industries such as manufacturing, construction, and mining. To ensure a comprehensive analysis, the inclusion criteria focused on studies that explicitly discuss workplace safety innovations, particularly in developing nations. The exclusion criteria involved omitting articles that primarily dealt with theoretical frameworks without practical applications or real-world case studies.

This study examines several approaches to datasheets and reporting tools using case studies from diverse sectors. A comparative analysis was conducted to identify variations in safety documentation practices across industries, highlighting both commonalities and sector-specific challenges.

According to the research, datasheets immediately reduce risks, increase emergency preparedness, and assist firms in adhering to regulations, all of which contribute to a decrease in workplace accidents. This study examines the effectiveness of digital safety management systems and prediction analytics in identifying hazards, as well as the challenges associated with their combination. Data synthesis was performed using a thematic approach, categorizing findings into key themes such as digital adoption, regulatory compliance, and workforce training efficiency. Additionally, statistical trends from secondary data sources were analyzed to provide a quantitative perspective on the effectiveness of safety datasheets in reducing workplace incidents. This study examines how cultural perspectives impact safety documentation procedures, particularly concerning the failure to record incidents, adherence to regulations, and the effectiveness of workplace training. To enhance reliability, cross-validation of findings was conducted by comparing multiple studies addressing similar challenges in workplace safety documentation.

This research examines how digital reporting tools and organised data might contribute to safer workplaces. It backs up the findings with industrial case studies and compares them to international safety standards. Furthermore, insights from expert interviews and industry best practices were incorporated to provide a well-rounded evaluation of the role of datasheets in improving workplace safety.

3.0 Results and Discussion

3.1 Workplace Incident Underreporting Challenges in Developing Nations

In developing nations, underreporting of workplace accidents remains a significant issue, which reduces the effectiveness of safety regulations and hazard reduction strategies. It can be difficult to recognize the seriousness of the hazards at work because of both systemic and individual obstacles. For instance, Mendieta et al. (2020) discovered that 80.7% of workplace accidents in Ecuador are unreported. The service (77.0%) and industrial (91.3%) sectors have larger percentages. According to Kyung et al. (2023), between 20% and 91% of American workers fail to report illnesses or injuries sustained on the job, indicating a worse issue in developing nations.

According to some ideas, behavioral psychology, regulatory problems, and cultural norms are the main causes of underreporting (Dutta et al., 2017; Kyung et al., 2022). Mistrust of management, fear of reprisals, and the belief that reporting procedures are ineffective are all contributing causes to this tendency. In high-risk industries like healthcare, unreported workplace violence makes safety ratings much more difficult (García-Pérez et al., 2021). This pervasive underreporting alters occupational hazard data and makes risk management more difficult. Safety regulations are useless without accurate reports, making employees vulnerable. This topic continues to arise in various contexts, demonstrating its significance as a theoretical and practical workplace safety concern.

3.2 Factors Contributing to Underreporting

Many organisational, cultural, and economic reasons contribute to the underreporting of workplace accidents in developing nations. This is particularly true in sectors where employees don't always follow safety regulations (Antonelli et al., 2024; Nzayinambaho et al., 2024). The fear of punishment, job uncertainty, inadequate training, and lax regulatory oversight are all examples of systemic barriers to incident report (Costa et al., 2023). According to Antonelli et al. (2024), employees whose jobs are in jeopardy frequently fail to disclose incidents to maintain their employment. This demonstrates the connection between occupational safety and economic vulnerability.

Contradictory literature demonstrates varying opinions on the primary deterrents. Rather than citing employer-imposed constraints as the cause of underreporting, other research concentrates on cultural perspectives on risk perception and personal accountability (Nzayinambaho et al., 2024). When people fail to disclose workplace risks, many things can go wrong. In areas with insufficient regulations, this makes it harder to recognise dangers. Table 1 lists these important variables along with how they affect incident reporting.

Table 1. Factors contributing to workplace incident underreporting

Factor	Description	Supporting Evidence
Fear of disciplinary actions	Workers fear punishment or job loss, discouraging them from reporting incidents. Distrust in management also contributes to underreporting.	77.5% of nurses in Rwanda cited disciplinary measures as the main reason for not reporting incidents (Nzayinambaho et al., 2024).
Economic insecurities	Low-wage workers hesitate to report incidents due to fears of job loss, especially	Lower-paid employees report fewer incidents due to the risk of termination (Antonelli et al., 2024).

	in settings where employment opportunities are scarce.	
Lack of formalised documentation systems	Many workplaces lack structured reporting mechanisms, leaving employees uncertain about reporting procedures. Insufficient training further limits awareness of what should be reported.	Nzayinambaho et al. (2024) highlighted the absence of structured systems, while Costa et al. (2023) noted that inadequate training hinders proper reporting.

3.3 Impact on Safety Regulations and Risk Perception

3.3.1 Impact on Safety Regulations

Altering injury statistics, underreporting workplace accidents significantly impairs regulatory systems and result in safety regulations that fail to address actual hazards (Ranganathan & Sujatha, 2022). Ineffective documentation methods fail to adequately safeguard workers by creating legal gaps in areas where records are incomplete. Because businesses frequently fail to record incidents to escape accountability, selective reporting techniques exacerbate the deception and reduce the credibility of safety data (Geddert et al., 2021).

Current research reveals conflicting findings that point to a two-pronged issue: Some emphasise that lax regulations prevent people from reporting crimes, while others claim that workplace culture and employer incentives play a significant role in discouraging people from reporting (Geddert et al., 2021; Ranganathan & Sujatha, 2022). The findings demonstrate that underreporting is a structural issue that impacts the way safety is implemented in a wide range of industries, in addition to being a problem with data management.

The reason for underreporting is a discrepancy between documented risks and actual working conditions, which influences people's theoretical perspectives on risk. Workers and policymakers are both impacted by this imbalance, which modifies the creation and implementation of safety regulations. These challenges impact not only workplace safety but also legal accountability, compliance strategies, and the development of occupational health frameworks in high-risk and regulatory businesses.

3.3.2 Risk Perception Among Workers

According to Drakopoulos and Theodossiou (2016), underreporting of workplace occurrences skews employees' perceptions of risk and fosters a false sense of security that reduces attentiveness and safety compliance. Employees minimise workplace risks and participate in risky activities more frequently when they fail to report events. Risk reduction becomes a self-sustaining problem over time as the absence of recorded events feeds into workplace norms that downplay the necessity of more stringent safety regulations.

There are differing theories in the literature about this occurrence. While some researchers blame psychological biases for risk underestimation, others blame it on employer-driven concealment of event disclosures and weak reporting cultures (Drakopoulos & Theodossiou, 2016). By demonstrating how systemic underreporting influences safety awareness, our findings improve theoretical models on risk perception. Beyond compliance, the impact affects how industry professionals and policymakers create safety initiatives and worker training. The wider ramifications underscore the pressing necessity of reevaluating the ways in which underreporting modifies the perception of risk.

3.4 Cultural Factors and Workplace Safety

Workplace safety is shaped by cultural variables, which affect how individuals, society, and organisations handle incident reporting. According to Petitta et al. (2017), companies with robust

safety cultures promote openness, whereas those that put efficiency ahead of safety encourage underreporting. Because they are afraid of the repercussions, employees in a blame-driven culture are less likely to disclose occurrences, which feeds the loop of unreported hazards (Darimaani et al., 2024).

Research contradictions show how intricately societal and organisational factors interact. While some research highlights management-driven report suppression to preserve reputations (Kamoli et al., 2022), others contend that national cultural norms determine whether employees feel comfortable sharing incidents (Kaklamanos, 2010). These results broaden theoretical frameworks for workplace safety by including external and internal forces that influence reporting practices.

Underreporting skews safety assessments, which in turn results in inefficient legislation and risk mitigation techniques, according to industry experts and legislators. The wider ramifications for worker safety are highlighted by acknowledging how mistrust, fear, and insufficient training contribute to reporting reluctance (Kyung et al., 2023; Darimaani et al., 2024). The continued existence of these difficulties emphasises the necessity of a sophisticated comprehension of cultural barriers in influencing workplace safety procedures.

3.5 Link Between Underreporting and Data Deficiency

Underreporting of work-related incidents results in data gaps that impact workplace safety statistics and make it harder to obtain reliable answers. Violence at work is particularly bad in the healthcare industry, where it goes unreported, and weaker employees are frightened of getting into trouble (García-Pérez et al., 2021). Without effective strategies to prevent underreporting, healthcare safety measures are less effective. According to Gabrielson et al. (2024), reporting gaps result in excessively low incidences of nonfatal accidents involving doctors.

Conflicts in the literature indicate that some industries are underreporting. Some studies claim that poor reporting practices are the reason for missing data, while others claim that people conceal instances out of concern for their reputation. Kamoli et al. (2022) claim that the Nigerian construction sector prioritises appearance over integrity, making it harder to employ resources effectively and securely. These findings support occupational risk models by demonstrating that inaccurate data perpetuates risky circumstances.

In terms of safety, untrustworthy data might mislead legislators and industry experts. According to Rosenman (2021), the Nordic nations demonstrate how obtaining a large amount of data can increase worker safety.

4. Challenges in Implementing Occupational Datasheets in Developing Countries

Obstacles related to institutions, finances, technology, and culture prevent the use of occupational datasheets in developing nations, which reduces data accuracy and workplace safety. These issues make it harder to acquire and use data, as seen in Table 2. These issues distort safety data, which alters how resources are allocated and how individuals are assisted. Developing economies require more robust mechanisms for individuals to report issues to improve occupational health regulations.

Table 2. Challenges in implementing occupational datasheets in developing countries

Category	Challenges	Key Issues	References
Institutional and Legal Barriers	Weak legislative frameworks	Poor enforcement of occupational health regulations	Joseph, 2023

	Lack of intersectoral collaboration	Occupational health data not integrated into public health policies	Joseph, 2023
	Inadequate legal frameworks	Outdated laws that do not cover modern workplace risks	Atusingwize et al., 2019
Financial Constraints	Limited funding	Insufficient budget for occupational health research	Joseph, 2023
	Economic challenges	Poverty and instability hinder investments in data infrastructure	Kolajo & Hashim, 2023
Technical Challenges	Poor data accessibility and quality	Fragmented and outdated data collection methods	Mishra et al., 2023; Mehmood & Razzak, 2009
	Inadequate technological infrastructure	Unreliable internet, power supply, and lack of digital tools	Odukoya et al., 2021
	Lack of trained personnel	Limited expertise in modern data management systems	Domini et al., 2019
Data Management Challenges	Lack of standardised registries	Weak mechanisms for collecting and storing occupational health data	Mishra et al., 2023; Morsy et al., 2010
	High costs of data management	Financial burden associated with digital record-keeping	Domini et al., 2019
Cultural and Adoption Barriers	Low awareness among workers	Many employees, especially in informal sectors, lack understanding of occupational risks	Joseph, 2023
	Employer resistance	Businesses prioritise productivity over health initiatives, viewing datasheets as burdensome	Joseph, 2023
	Lack of political will	Weak government commitment to workplace safety reforms	Joseph, 2023

5. Data-Driven Insights for Enhancing Workforce Safety

The integration of advanced analytics, digital tools, and big data transforms worker safety. Digital training tools enhance compliance, while real-time data collection and predictive analytics enable the detection of risks before they materialise. However, there are still obstacles with execution, like technical difficulties and resistance to change. Considering these factors will ensure that data-driven approaches enhance workplace productivity and safety.

5.1 Real-Time Data and Predictive Analytics

Analytics that use real-time data and predictions are making the workplace safer by making it easier to find and stop dangers before they happen. IoT-enabled sensors are always checking things like noise levels, air quality, and worker fatigue, as well as how they affect the body. This lets people know right away about any possible risks (Adikwu et al., 2024). When companies can track things in real time, they can move quickly, which cuts down on accidents at work. Along with monitoring, predictive analytics looks at safety data from the past to find patterns and guess what risks might be around the corner. Adikwu et al. (2024) opined that machine learning programs look at very large sets of data to find early signs of things that might go wrong. Companies can then take steps to avoid accidents before they happen. Businesses can protect their workers and cut down on injuries by using these ideas in their safety processes. To make health and safety at work better these changes show how important data-driven strategies are growing. They also show how important it is to use technology to plan for risks.

5.2 Enhanced Training and Reporting

Digital technologies are simplifying safety and hazard readiness by enhancing workplace reporting and training. Virtual reality training platforms improve workers' decision-making by simulating hazardous situations in secure settings (Azman & Kelana, 2024). This practical method streamlines real-world skills and safety. Systems for data-driven reporting make it easier to spot patterns in safety audits, compliance documentation, and near-miss incidents. According to SafetyIQ (2024), these standards aid companies in planning how to use resources and improve safety. Workplace safety consistently improves when proactive risk management replaces reactive risk management. To prepare people and fortify organisations, use technology-based training and accurate reporting systems.

5.3 Structured Data for Safety and Rehabilitation

Structured data improves workplace safety and recovery by making it easier to gather, analyse, and use safety insights. To identify and stop patterns, Svertoka et al. (2021) recommend utilising wearable electronics, incident reports, and ambient sensors. To lower risk and stop collisions, predictive models examine sensor data (Rosati et al., 2023). Structured data improves treatment outcomes by streamlining customised recovery plans and trustworthy damage assessments in rehabilitation. Rehabilitation is more uniform thanks to standardised safety metrics like the National Report on Rehabilitation Medicine. Electronic health records expedite worker recovery and reintegration by providing real-time patient data for evidence-based treatment programs (Amrollahi et al., 2022). Workplace safety management and rehabilitation that is data-driven produces better outcomes. This bridges the gap between theoretical advancements and real-world practical applications.

5.4 Integration with International Safety Standards

Workplace safety procedures are more efficient, reliable, and compliant when they are in accordance with international standards. Organisational frameworks such as the International Labor Organization (ILO) agreements and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations outline systematic approaches to enhancing workplace health and safety. This facilitates risk management and hazard reduction. Because it emphasises continuous development and risk assessment, ISO 45001:2018 is a crucial component of integrating OHS management systems, according to Furtina and Widajati (2023). Adhering to European safety regulations, such as Framework Directive 89/391/EEC, also strengthens preventative measures and ensures that they comply with global best practices (European Council, 1989). In addition to making workplaces safer, following these rules will facilitate cross-border operations and ensure that OHS procedures

are consistent. By combining these global models, workplaces become safer and more resilient by bridging the gap between novel concepts in theory and their practical application.

6. Recommendations and Policy Implications

6.1 Need for Investment in Standardized Reporting Systems

Standardised reporting systems that consistently receive, process, and interpret data are necessary to improve workplace safety. Many firms have disorganised reporting processes that make it difficult to identify patterns and implement preventative safety measures. A uniform reporting format enables us to meaningfully compare safety outcomes across sectors and geographical areas. Governments and regulatory agencies should place a high premium on digital safety reporting systems that enable real-time data entry, event tracking, and predictive analytics. These technologies facilitate data sharing and risk assessment when incorporated into existing occupational health and safety (OHS) frameworks. Teaching staff members how to properly use these tools is only as vital as fostering a culture of safety and responsibility.

6.2 Policy Recommendations for Improving Workplace Safety Documentation

Safer workplace records need to include reporting real-time workplace incidents, doing safety checks regularly, and keeping digital records. Governments should make companies keep digital records of accidents, near-misses, and training events to improve safety. This will make it easier for the government to keep an eye on everything and make sure that all businesses follow the same rules. Regular safety audits by trained professionals would make a company more open, accountable, and likely to keep complete safety records. Automated systems that send reports in real time would help respond faster and stop small problems from getting worse. Following these steps will lead to better safety records, safety standards, and decisions that are based on facts.

6.3 Strategies for Increasing Employer and Worker Engagement

To get more employers and workers involved in safety, it's necessary to be aggressive and include everyone. Programs that offer rewards, such as incentives, praise, and job advancement, can help people follow safety rules. These rewards make workers more likely to report hazards and attend safety training. Digital modules that a worker can interact with, virtual reality models, and game-based learning can all help the worker learn and remember more. Employee safety groups let workers report problems, suggest ways to make things better, and help make rules about safety. Access to tools for private reporting on digital platforms can help solve safety issues without risking punishment. By pushing everyone to share responsibility, these strategies increase compliance and lower the risk of accidents at work.

6.4 Collaboration with Global Organizations for Safety Standardisation

To make workplace safety more consistent and follow worldwide best practices, people from all over the world need to work together. To set up their health and safety management at work, companies should use the ISO 45001 guidelines. Governments may be able to improve safety and risk management by getting businesses to follow these rules. Industry groups keep up with changes in safety around the world through conferences and measuring programs. Multinational companies can share good safety practices and work around local rules by sharing information across borders. Working with research centers can give you access to technology and studies on safety. By doing these things, companies can make their workplaces safer and better at OHS.

Conclusion

There are still a lot of problems with workplace safety in developing countries, including not reporting enough accidents, not enforcing rules well, and not having good records. The main point

of this essay is that workplace datasheets help with keeping track of events, checking on workers' health, and figuring out risks so that everyone is safer. For these projects to work, they need to get past institutional resistance and a lack of technology infrastructure. Standardised reporting, big data, and digital tools can all help keep workers safer. This can change a lot with better foreign standards, real-time monitoring, and predictive analytics. To close gaps, it is important to make policies stronger, get companies and workers involved, and work with global groups like the ILO and OSHA. Developing countries need to use technology, change their laws, and involve all stakeholders to make workplaces safer. Accountability and transparency help businesses and governments make workplaces safer and more long-lasting, which is good for long-term economic growth.

References

Adikwu, F. E., Esiri, A. E., Aderamo, A. T., Akano, O. A., & Erhueh, O. V. (2024). Leveraging digital technologies for health, safety, and environmental (HSE) management in industrial operations. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences, 6*(11), 2712–2726. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i11.1722

Azman, A. F., & Kelana, B. W. (2024). Enhancing sustainability and workplace safety management systems in the manufacturing industry through digitalization. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 14*(10), 3220–3228. https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v14-i10/23499

Akano, O. A., Hanson, E., Nwakile, C., & Esiri, A. E. (2024). Designing comprehensive workforce safety frameworks for high-risk environments: A strategic approach. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research, 6*(10), 3480-3492. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i10.1657

Amrollahi, F., Shashikumar, S. P., Holder, A. L., & Nemati, S. (2022). Leveraging clinical data across healthcare institutions for continual learning of predictive risk models. *Scientific Reports, 12*(1), 8380. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-12497-7

Antonelli, M. A., Castaldo, A., Forti, M., Marrocco, A., & Salustri, A. (2024). Workplace accidents, economic determinants, and underreporting: An empirical analysis in Italy. *International Journal of Manpower, 45*(8), 1555–1572. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-01-2024-0026

Arnetz, J. E., Hamblin, L., Ager, J., Aranyos, D., Essenmacher, L., Upfal, M. J., & Luborsky, M. (2015). Using database reports to reduce workplace violence: Perceptions of hospital stakeholders. *WORK, 51*(1), 51-59. https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-141887

Atusingwize, E., Musinguzi, G., Ndejjo, R., Buregyeya, E., Kayongo, B., Mubeezi, R., … Ssempebwa, J. C. (2018). Occupational safety and health regulations and implementation challenges in Uganda. *Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health, 74*(1–2), 58–65. https://doi.org/10.1080/19338244.2018.1492895

Babović, P. (2009). Occupational accidents as indicators of inadequate work conditions and work environment. *Acta Medica Medianae, 48*(4), 22–26. https://scindeks.ceon.rs/article.aspx?artid=0365-44780904022B

Chencheva, O., Sukach, S., Rieznik, D., Petrenko, I., Lashko, Y., & Hladiuk, O. (2024). Modern concept of occupational safety and health management with a risk-based approach. *Municipal Economy of Cities, 4*(185), 221–227. https://doi.org/10.33042/2522-1809-2024-4-185-221-227

Costa, C., Abeijon, P., Rodrigues, D. A., Figueiras, A., Herdeiro, M. T., & Torre, C. (2023). Factors associated with underreporting of adverse drug reactions by patients: A systematic review. *International Journal of Clinical Pharmacy, 45*(6), 1349–1358.

Darimaani, C., Akoogo, M. A., Ahiale, S. K., & Kheni, N. A. (2024). Critical factors contributing to under-reporting of occupational accidents in the construction industry in Ghana. *African Journal of Applied Research, 10*(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.26437/ajar.v10i1.660

Debelu, D., Mengistu, D. A., Tolera, S. T., Aschalew, A., & Deriba, W. (2023). Occupational-related injuries and associated risk factors among healthcare workers working in developing countries: A systematic review. *Health Services Research and Managerial Epidemiology, 10*. https://doi.org/10.1177/23333928231192834

Domini, M., Gibellini, S., Villa, F., Vidoni, A., Di Francesco, L., Sorlini, S., & Vaccari, M. (2018). The challenge of sharing data in cooperation projects: Cause for reflection. *JUNCO | Journal of Universities and International Development Cooperation, 2*(1-2). https://doi.org/10.13135/2531-8772/3676

Dorman, P. (2012). *Estimating the economic costs of occupational injuries and illnesses in developing countries: Essential information for decision-makers*. International Labour Organization. https://www.ilo.org/safework/info/publications/WCMS_207690/lang--en/index.htm

Drakopoulos, S. A., & Theodossiou, I. (2016). Workers' risk underestimation and occupational health and safety regulation. *European Journal of Law and Economics, 41*, 641–656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10657-012-9379-3

Dutta, S., Tushar, A. K., Al Mamun, M. A., Ishmam, A. M., Mondal, S. K., Toha, T. R., & Al Islam, A. A. (2017). Towards perceiving and resolving the impediments to reporting for the developing countries. *2017 4th International Conference on Networking, Systems and Security (NSysS)*, 1–8. IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/NSYSS2.2017.8267790

European Council. (1989). *Council Directive 89/391/EEC on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health of workers at work*. Official Journal of the European Communities, L183, 1–8. Available at: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A31989L0391

Furtina, N. K., & Widajati, N. (2023). Integration of ISO 45001:2018 clause with OHS criteria and gap analysis criteria 1.1–1.2 OHS in PT. X Pasuruan. *KESANS: International Journal of Health and Science, 2*(11), 886–898.

Gabrielson, A. T., Chrouser, K., Hallbeck, M. S., Cohen, T., & Corwin, C. (2024). Gaps in workplace injury reporting among physicians to the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics. *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*. Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000003295

García-Pérez, M. D., Rivera-Sequeiros, A., Sánchez-Elías, T. M., & Lima-Serrano, M. (2021). Workplace violence on healthcare professionals and underreporting: Characterization and knowledge gaps for prevention. *Enfermería Clínica (English Edition, 31*(6), 390–395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enfcle.2021.05.001

Geddert, K., Dekker, S., & Rae, A. (2021). How does selective reporting distort understanding of workplace injuries? *Safety, 7*(3), 58. https://doi.org/10.3390/safety7030058

Joseph, B. (2023). SP-01 Challenges and opportunities for occupational health research in developing countries. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 80*(Suppl 1), A2. https://doi.org/10.1136/OEM-2023-EPICOH.4

Kaklamanos, C. (2010). *The influence of national culture on safety incident reporting in a hazardous industry* (Master's thesis). Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Technology, Policy and Management.

Kamoli, A., Hamid, R. A., & Mahmud, S. H. B. (2022). Under-reporting of occupational accidents in the Nigerian construction industry. In M. F. M. Din, N. E. Alias, N. Hussein, & N. S. Zaidi (Eds.), *Sustainability management strategies and impact in developing countries* (Vol. 26, pp. 253-264). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/S2040-726220220000026020

Kolajo, O. J., & Hashim, I. B. (2023). Challenges and opportunities of secondary use of health data in developing countries. *KJSET, 2*(2), 176–181. https://doi.org/10.59568/KJSET-2023-2-1-22

Kyung, M., Lee, S. J., Dancu, C., & Hong, O. (2023). Underreporting of workers' injuries or illnesses and contributing factors: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health, 23*, 558. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-15487-0

Mehmood, A., & Razzak, J. A. (2009). Trauma registry needs and challenges in developing countries. *Journal of Pakistan Medical Association, 59*(12), 807–808. http://ecommons.aku.edu/pakistan_fhs_mc_emerg_med/170

Mendieta, R. V., Cuenca, M. E., & Garcia, A. R. (2020). Subregistro de accidentes de trabajo en Ecuador: Nuevas evidencias, limitaciones y prioridades. *Universidad Ciencia y Tecnología, 24*(101), 33–40.

Michell, K. (2024). SS15 Proactive approach to accident prevention through risk management. *Occupational Medicine (London), 74*(Suppl 1), 0–0. https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqae023.0120

Mishra, S., Viramgami, A., Das, S., & Girish, N. (2023). P-296 Occupational disease and injury registry in India – possibilities and challenges. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 80*(Suppl 1), A96–A97. https://doi.org/10.1136/OEM-2023-EPICOH.238

Morsy, A., Lim, T. O., Varatharajan, S., & Lim, J. Y. (2010). National registries in developing countries: Understanding construction challenges and implementation steps. *2010 5th Cairo International Biomedical Engineering Conference*, 75–78. https://doi.org/10.1109/CIBEC.2010.5716086

Nakhal, A. J., Patriarca, R., Di Gravio, G., Antonioni, G., & Paltrinieri, N. (2021). Investigating occupational and operational industrial safety data through business intelligence and machine learning. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, 73*, 104608. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jlp.2021.104608

Nzayinambaho, J. P., Murekatete, A., Musengamana, V., & Rugwizangoga, B. (2024). Assessment of factors affecting incident reporting among nurses working at a tertiary hospital in Rwanda. *Rwanda Public Health Bulletin, 5*(3), 36–43. https://doi.org/10.4314/rphb.v5i3.2

Odukoya, O., Nenrot, D., Adelabu, H., Katam, N., Christian, E., Holl, J., Okonkwo, A., Kocherginsky, M., Kim, K. Y., Akanmu, S., & Abdulkareem, F. B. (2021). Application of the research electronic data capture (REDCap) system in a low- and middle-income country – experiences, lessons, and challenges. *Health Technology, 11*, 1297–1304. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-021-00600-3

Petitta, L., Probst, T. M., & Barbaranelli, C. (2017). Safety culture, moral disengagement, and accident underreporting. *Journal of Business Ethics, 141*, 489–504. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2694-1

Rabbani, U., & Fatmi, Z. (2018). Incidence, patterns, and associated factors for occupational injuries among agricultural workers in a developing country. *Medical Journal of the Islamic Republic of Iran, 32*(1), 512-518. http://mjiri.iums.ac.ir/article-1-5217-en.html

Ranganathan, P., & Sujatha, S. (2022). Occupational accidents and need for worker safety in manufacturing and high-risk industries – An explorative study with solutions. *International Journal of Professional Business Review, 7*(6), e0670. https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2022.v7i6.e670

Rosati, R., Romeo, L., Cecchini, G., & others. (2023). From knowledge-based to big data analytic model: A novel IoT and machine learning-based decision support system for predictive maintenance in Industry 4.0. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing, 34*, 107–121. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-022-01960-x

Rosenman, K. D. (2022). Perspective on new evidence showing injury under-reporting among precarious workers. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 79*(1), 1–2.

SafetyIQ (2024). *A guide to safety audits and inspections. SafetyIQ - Predictability at Work*. https://safetyiq.com/insight/a-guide-to-safety-audits-and-inspections/

Schuh, A. K. (2013). *Occupational safety surveillance using a statistical monitoring approach* (Doctoral dissertation, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University). Blacksburg, VA.

Svertoka, E., Saafi, S., Rusu-Casandra, A., Burget, R., Marghescu, I., Hosek, J., & Ometov, A. (2021). Wearables for industrial work safety: A survey. *Sensors, 21*(11), 3844. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21113844, E., Saafi, S., Rusu-Casandra, A., Burget, R., Marghescu, I., Hosek, J., & Ometov, A. (2021). Wearables for industrial work safety: A survey. *Sensors, 21*(11), 3844. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21113844

Verma, A., Chatterjee, S., Sarkar, S., & Maiti, J. (2018). Data-driven mapping between proactive and reactive measures of occupational safety performance. In J. Maiti & P. Ray (Eds.), *Industrial safety management. Managing the Asian Century* (pp. XX-XX). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-6328-2_5

Yaremko, Z., Tymoshuk, S., & Vashchuk, V. (2021). Systematic approach to work safety management in the workplace. *Management of Complex Systems Development, 46*, 149–154. https://doi.org/10.32347/2412-9933.2021.46.149-154