GROWTH RESPONSE, NUTRIENT UTILIZATION AND SURVIVAL OF NILE TILAPIA (Oreochromis niloticus) FED VARYING LEVELS OF CHAYA LEAF (Cnidoscolus Chayamansa) MEAL

OBASA S.O, FEMI, O. ADEOSUN, F.I. AND ODULATE, D.O.

Department of Aquaculture and Fisheries Management, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

A feeding trial was designed and carried out to assess the growth response, nutrient utilization and survival of Oreochromis niloticus fed graded levels of Chaya leaf meal based diets. Two hundred and seventy (270) fingerlings of O. niloticus of average weight of 3.75 ± 0.14g were fed with six iso-nitrogenous diets containing 35% crude protein. The Chaya leaf meal (CLM) protein replaced soybean meal in the diets in the following proportions: Diet 1 (0% CLM), Diet II (20% CLM), Diet III (40% CLM), Diet IV (60% CLM), Diet V (80% CLM) and Diet VI (100% CLM). At the end of the trial, the mean weight gain of fish was highest in diet 1 with a value of 5.07g and lowest in diet VI with a value of 3.29g. There was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the mean weight gain (MWG) of fish fed diet 1 and fish fed diet II. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) was best in fish fed diet II (2.44) but not significantly different (P>0.05) from fish fed diet I (2.50). The highest specific growth rate (SGR) of 0.66%/day in fish fed diet I was significantly higher (P<0.05) than others except fish fed diet II with a value of 0.61%/ day. The highest protein efficiency ratio (PER) was obtained for fish fed diet I with a value 0.98 while the lowest value was obtained for fish in diet VI with a value of 0.74. There was no significant difference (P>0.05) in MWG, FCR, SGR and PER between fish fed diet I and II. The highest net protein utilization (NPU) recorded was also significantly higher (P<0.05) in diet I which was 51.40% while the lowest value of 13.13% was recorded in diet VI which was 13.13%. Percentage survival was highest (100%) in fish fed diets V and VI, while fish fed diets I and II recorded 90% each. It was however observed that chaya leaf meal protein can favourably replace 20% of soybean meal protein in the diets of Nile tilapia, O. niloticus.

Keywords: Chaya leaf meal, Growth response, Nutrient utilization, Survival.

INTRODUCTION

feedstuffs.

One major constraint to achieving increased pond fish production in many developing countries of the world is the scarcity of cheap but balanced fish diets. This problem is further compounded by the soaring cost of some important ingredients like fishmeal and soybean which are in short supply, hence, the need for considering alternative cheap and affordable fish

Of all the plant protein feed ingredients, soybean (*Glycine max*) is considered to be the most nutritious and it is used as a major protein source in most fish diets (Lovell 1988). However, the cost of soybean meal keeps increasing due to high demand both as food for humans as well as raw materials in livestock feed industry.

ISSN 1595—9694 © UNAAB 2003

Several studies have been conducted to substitute fishmeal protein either wholly or partially with plant proteins in fish feeds (Carlos *et al.*, 1988, Balogun and Ologhobo 1989, Adeparusi, 1992; Webster *et al.*, 1995) while such that substituted the expensive soybean meal with some other less expensive plant protein sources are few (Fasakin and Balogun, 1998 and Obasa *et al.*, 2003).

Chaya (Cnidoscolus) is an ancient vegetable from Yucatan Peninsula of Mexico. It was introduced into Florida, USA where it became established as a rank shrub (Mc Vaughn, 1914). Under favourable condition, Chaya is a large shrub or small tree from 2-3 metres and at most reaching 5 metres in height. Basically, Chaya exists as two different species: Cnidoscolus aconitifolis and Cnidoscolus Chayamansa. They are closely related to jatropha. C. Chayamansa is the widely cultivated species while the other C. aconitifolis often grows as a wild variety. The Chaya plant is propagated by stem cutting. If well taken care of, almost all chaya cuttings will produce root. The cuttings can be established directly at the site where they are expected to grow. The soil should also be well drained and not too wet during early period of propagation (Martin and Ruberts,1973).

C. chayamansa leave has a high concentration of protein and balanced essential amino acids and similar to the body protein requirement of non-ruminants. (Donkoh *et al.*,1998), however, it contains large amount of hydrocyanic acid. The concentration of this acid is more in leaves, intermediate in the petioles and less at the tip of the stem. The hydro-

cyanic is heat labile and can be removed by heat between 50° and 75° C. It is also water soluble. On the above background this work was carried out, accessing the growth response, nutrient utilization and survival of *O. niloticus* fed varying levels of Chaya leaf meal based diets.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two hundred and seventy (270) fingerlings of O. niloticus of 3.75 ± 0.14 g average weight were collected from a commercial fish farm in Ogun State, Nigeria. The fish were acclimatized for one week and starved for 24 hours before being placed on experimental diets. Eighteen (18) net hapa $(1m \times 1m \times 1m)$ were set in a concrete tank $(10m \times 5m \times 1.3m)$ at the Experimental Farm of the Department of Aquaculture and Fisheries Management, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria. Fish were distributed randomly at the stocking rate of 15 fish per hapa in triplicate per treatment. Water supply was from the University's water system, passed through pipes into the concrete tanks. Six iso-nitrogenous artificial diets containing 35% crude protein in which the soybean meal protein was replaced with boiled (at 100° C) and sundried chaya leaf meal protein at 0, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100% levels were formulated and compounded. The diets were fed to fish in triplicate treatments. Fish were fed twice daily at 5% body weight between the hours of 8:00 and 9:00 and 14:00 - 16:00 Hrs.

Fish were batched weighed weekly with a weighing balance (Metller 601 BD) and rations were adjusted accordingly. The pH was monitored with the pH meter (E520) Metrolin model, the dissolved oxygen (DO) was monitored by using the oxygen meter (JENWAY 1971) while the water

temperature was measured with mercuryin-glass thermometer.

Proximate analysis of triplicate samples of ingredients, diets and fish were carried out using the methods described by AOAC (1990). A sample of 10 fish of the initial stock as well as 5 samples of live fish from each treatment were removed and sacrificed at the expiration of the experiment for carcass analysis. Moisture was determined by oven drying at 85°C to constant weight in a LEEC cabinet oven. Micro-Kjeldahl method was used to determine the level of crude protein (N x 6.25), crude lipid was determined by extraction with N-Hexane (60-80°C) for 6 hours in a Soxhlet apparatus. Acid-base digestion was used to determine crude fibre cntent while ash was determined with a Gallenkamp (size 3) muffle furnace. Diet performance was evaluated on experimental fish according to Olivera- Nova et al. (1990). Data obtained were subjected to statistical analysis of variance (ANOVA) and correlation analysis system programme (SAS 1980).

RESULTS

The proximate composition of experimental diets (Table 2) showed that crude protein contents are between 34.45% in diet 6 and 34.68% in diet 2. Fat and ash contents increased as the Chaya leaf meal increased while the moisture did not assume any particular order. Carcass composition of *O. niloticus* before and after the growth trial (Table2) showed decrease in carcass proteins as the Chaya leaf meal increased while the carcass fat increased as the Chaya leaf meal increased.

Table 3 also shows the mean growth

response, feed utilization and survival of Nile tilapia fed on experimental diets recorded throughout the eight weeks experimental period. The fish fed readily in all the treatments. Fish fed diets containing high levels of Chaya leaf meal exhibited reduction in feed consumption rate. Likewise, mean weight gain (MWG), feed conversion ratio (FCR) and specific growth rate (SGR) also showed gradual decrease as chava leaf meal inclusion level increased. The highest specific growth rate with significant difference (p < 0.05) was recorded in fish fed diet 1 (0% chaya leaf meal) with the exception of fish fed diet II (20% Chaya leaf meal diet) (p > 0.05).

The nutrient utilization parameters such as the protein efficiency ratio (PER) and the apparent net protein utilization (App-NPU) also decreased as the Chaya leaf meal increased in diets but there was no significant difference (p> 0.05) between fish fed control diet 1 (0% Chaya leaf meal) and fish fed diet III (40% Chaya leaf meal). Percentage survival was highest in fish fed diets V and VI with the value of 100% while incidentally, fish fed diets I and II had lowest. Water physico-chemical parameters indicated that pH ranged from 6.11-6.23, dissolved oxygen (DO) between 5.50-6.60 mg/l and temperature, ranged between 25.6-26.7° C.

DISCUSSION

This trial revealed that the growth and nutrient utilization of *O. niloticus* were influenced by levels of chaya leaf meal inclusion in diets. Diets were accepted by the fish during the first week of the experiment. This later decreased with increasing inclusion levels of chaya leaf meal with time. Diet 1 was most preferred and which translated into superior growth performance and diet utilization (table 2). This result is not surprising since soy bean meal was described as a protein source with high nutritional value (Fagbenro and Davies 2001). Also, water quality parameters were all within tolerant range recommended for fresh water fish culture (Omoregie et al., 1991). The significant difference (p < 0.05) in the growth response nutrient utilization within and the replacement levels was probably an indication that those parameters were mainly influenced by the different replacement levels of soybean meal by chaya leaf meal. Also, the statistically significant depression (p<0.05) in final body weight of fish fed diet with higher chaya leaf meal inclusion levels could have resulted from reduced feed intake and also declined dietary protein quality of the diets. Similar results were observed by Appler and Jauncey (1993), Wee and Wang (1987) when Nile tilapia was fed high levels of plant protein sources. Also, Ipinjolu et al. (1989), feeding common carp (Cyprinus carpio) with palm kernel based diets and Carl et al. (1995), using soybean meal to partially replace fish meal in the diets for blue catfish (Ictalurus furcatus). Likewise, Absalom et al. (1999), recorded

similar results while feeding the Nile tilapia with kidney bean meal and also Obasa *et al.* (2004) when soybean meal was replaced with mucuna seed meal in the diets of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Similar result was also recorded by Alegbeleye *et al.* (2004) while replacing groundnut cake with rubber seed cake in the diets for Nile tilapia. Proximate analysis of the fish tissue revealed no evidence of sharp decline in protein or excessive fat deposition in fish fed diets with high chaya leaf meal inclusion.

The high percentage survival, observed in fish fed diets with high level of chaya leaf meal may not mean that those diets were better than the lower inclusion levels as the mortality observed in these diets could be due to stress during stocking as they occurred in the first few days of the trial. This observation is consistent with Webster (1995) substituting fish meal with increasing levels of soybean meal in the diets for blue catfish Ictalurus furcatatus and found no significant differences in survival even when the soybean replaced all of the fish meal. Chaya leaf meal can therefore, favourably replace up to 20% of soybean meal in the diet of Nile tilapia, O. niloticus.

 Table 1: Proximate composition of feed ingredients (Dry matter %)

| Traits | Chaya leave meal | Soybean meal | Yellow maize | Groundnut cake | Fish meal |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|
| Crude protein | 26.18 | 44.08 | 11.10 | 36.52 | 72.00 |
| Moisture | 11.43 | 5.66 | 11.00 | 7.08 | 8.00 |
| Ether extract | 3.70 | 19.10 | 4.40 | 8.80 | 8.00 |
| Crude fibre | 8.19 | 5.71 | 6.30 | 7.08 | 1.10 |
| Ash | 11.69 | 10.77 | 1.40 | 13.08 | 10.50 |
| Nitrogen- free extract | 43.91 | 26.05 | 65.70 | 30.21 | 10.40 |

ISSN 1595—9694 © UNAAB 2003

```
163
```

| Cable 2. Ingredient co | mposition (| of test diet | s fed to C |). nilotici | <i>ıs</i> fingerli | ngs (g/100g) |
|------------------------|-------------|--------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Ingredients | Diet 1 | Diet 2 | Diet 3 | Diet 4 | Diet 5 | Diet 6 |
| Chaya leaf meal | 0.00 | 8.70 | 18.38 | 29.20 | 41.39 | 55.20 |
| Soybean | 41.33 | 34.88 | 27.57 | 19.47 | 10.35 | 0.00 |
| Yellow maize | 27.55 | 24.27 | 20.62 | 16.54 | 11.95 | 6.75 |
| Fish meal | 20.67 | 21.76 | 22.98 | 24.34 | 25.87 | 27.60 |
| Wheat offal | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Vegetable Oil | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Bone meal | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 |
| Oyster shell | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Lysine | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Methionine | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| *Vitamin Premix | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Salt (NaCl) | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Analyzed: | | | | | | |
| % Crude protein | 34.59 | 34.68 | 34.51 | 34.51 | 34.50 | 34.45 |
| % fat | 7.06 | 5.68 | 6.47 | 6.45 | 6.34 | 5.35 |
| % crude fibre | 5.12 | 6.32 | 7.12 | 7.35 | 7.71 | 8.21 |
| % Ash | 9.40 | 7.59 | 8.80 | 10.27 | 11.02 | 11.25 |
| % moisture | 13.36 | 12.69 | 13.13 | 12.78 | 14.22 | 13.49 |
| Nitrogen free extract | 32.18 | 33.04 | 29.97 | 30.64 | 26.91 | 27.54 |

GROWTH RESPONSE, NUTRIENT UTILIZATION AND SURVIVAL OF

RADAR VIT. PREMIX supplies per 100g diet. Palmitate (A) 10001U; Cholesterol (D) 10001U acetate (E) 1.1 mg;

Menadione (K) 0.2mg; Thiamine (B1) 0.63mg;Riboflavin (B2) 0.5mg; Panthothetic acid, 0.9mg; Pyridoxine (B6) 0.15mg; Cyanocobalamine (B12), 0.001mg: Nicotinic acid 3.0mg; Folic acid 0.1mg; Choline 31.3mg; Ascorbic acid (C), 2.5mg; Fe, 0.05mg; Cu 0.25mg; Mn 6.00mg; Co, 0.5mg; Zn, 5.0mg; I,0.2mg; S, 0.02mg.

| Parameters | Diet 1 | Diet 2 | Diet 3 | Diet 4 | Diet 5 | Diet 6 | SEM* |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------|
| | | | | | | | |
| Initial mean weight (g) | 3.74 | 3.79 | 3.78 | 3.80 | 3.79 | 3.77 | 0.01 |
| Final mean weight (g) | 8.81^{a} | 8.34 ^a | 8.11^{ab} | 7.87 ^c | 7.49 ^d | 7.06 ^e | 0.25 |
| Mean weight gain (g) | 5.07^{a} | 4.55^{a} | 4.33 ^{ab} | 4.07^{b} | 3.70° | 3.29 ^{cd} | 0.26 |
| Feed intake (g) | 12.67 | 11.08 | 11.82 | 11.64 | 11.31 | 10.83 | 0.27 |
| Feed conversion ratio | 2.50° | 2.44 ^c | 2.73 ^{bc} | 2.86^{b} | 3.06 ^a | 3.29 ^a | 0.13 |
| Specific growth rate (%/day) | 0.66^{a} | 0.61 ^{ab} | 0.59^{b} | 0.56° | 0.53 ^{cd} | 0.49 ^d | 0.02 |
| Protein efficiency ratio | 0.98^{a} | 0.93 ^{ab} | 0.90^{b} | 0.86^{b} | 0.80° | 0.74^{d} | 0.04 |
| Net protein utilization (%) | 51.40^{a} | 44.65 ^b | 38.75 [°] | 32.00 ^d | 20.06 ^e | 13.13 ^f | 5.97 |
| Survival rate (%) | 90^{ab} | 90^{ab} | 95 ^a | 95 ^a | 100^{a} | 100 ^a | 1.83 |
| Carcass: Initia | 1 | | | | | | |
| Moisture (%) 9.6510. | 22 | 9.88 | 9.79 | 9.66 | 9.14 | 8.78 | 0.18 |
| Crude protein (%) 56.8770 | .53 | 70.03 | 68.97 | 68.47 | 62.56 | 58.68 | 2.16 |
| Fat (%) 10.568.2 | 21 | 8.52 | 8.99 | 9.31 | 9.72 | 10.01 | 0.31 |

Table 3. Growth Response Nutrient Utilization and survival of *O. niloticus* fed varying levels of chaya leaf meal based diets

Values with common superscripts in horizontal rows are not significantly different

(p > 0.01).

* Standard error of the mean.

ISSN 1595—9694 © UNAAB 2003

REFERENCES

Absalom, K.V., Omoregie, E.,Andigbe, A.M. 1999. Effects of kidney bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris* meal on the growth performance, feed utilization and protein digestibility of Nile tilapia. *Journal of Aquatic Sciences* 14: 55-59.

Adeparusi, E.A. 1992. Evaluation of the nutritive potential of cooked pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) meal as a plant protein for *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings. *J.A.T.* Vol.2 No. 1.

Alegbeleye, W.O., Oresegun, A. Akegbejo-Samsons, Y., Obasa, S.O. 2004. Replacement of groundnut cake with rubber (*Hevea brasiliences*) seed cake in diets for Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Journal of Aquatic Sciences. 19(1) 27-31.

A.O.A.C. 1990. Association of Analytical Chemists. W. Hortwtz. Official method of Analysis, 15th edition. A.O.A.C. Washington D.C. 1298p

Appler, H.N., Jauncey, H. 1993. The utilization of filamentous green algae (*Cladophora glomerata* L) as a protein source in pelleted feeds on *Sarothero- don niloticus* fingerlings. *Aquacul- ture*,38: 21-30.

Balogun M., Ologhobo A.D. 1989. Growth performance and Nutrient utilization of *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell) fingerling fed raw and cooked soybean diets.*Aquaculture* 76:119-126.

Carl, D,W., Laura,G.T., James,

H.T., Peter,V.W., Robert, D.H. 1995.Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth and body composition of sunshine bass (*Morone chrysops* X *M. saxatilis*) reared in cages. *Aquaculture*, 131:291-301.

Carlos, A.M., Cruz, R.D.Olivera, N. Chavez, M.C. 1988. The use of jack beans (Leguminosae) meal as a partial substitute for fish meal in diets of tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Aquaculture 68: 168-175.

Donkoh, A.A, Kese, A.G., Atuahene, C.C. 1988. Chemical composition of Chaya leaf meal (Cnidoscolus aconitifolis (Mill) Johnson) and availability of its amino acids to chicks. *Animal Feed Science Technology* 130: 155-162.

Fagbenro, A.O., Davies, S.J. 2001. Use of soybean flour (dehulled. Solvent -extracted soybean) as a fish meal substitute in practical diets for African catfish, *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell 1822): growth, feed utilization and digestibility. *Appl. Ichthyol.* 17:64-69.

Fasakin A.E., Balogun A.M. 1998. Evaluation of dried water fern (*Azolla piñata*) as a replacer of soybean dietary component for *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings. *J. Aqua. Trop.* 13(1) 57-64.

Ipinjolu, J.K, Falaye, E.A., Tewe, O.O. 1989. Assessment of palm kernel meal in the diets of juvenile carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) Journal of West African Fisheries, Vol. 1V: 221-230.

Lovell, R.T. 1988. The use of soybean products in diets for aquaculture spe-

cies. J. Aquat. Products 2:27-52.

Martin, F.W., Ruberts, R.M. 1973. Vegetable for the hot, humid Tropics. Mayaguez Inst. Of Trop. Agric., USDA, Mayaguez, Pueto Rico. News letter, No1, pp 4-11.

Mc Vaughn, R. 1944. The genus *Cnidoscolus*. Generic limits and intergeneric groups. *Bulletin Torrey Botanical Unb*. 72 (5): 451-474.

Obasa,S.O, Dada, A.A., Alegbeleye, W.O. 2003. Evaluation of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan*) as a substitute for soya bean meal in the diet of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fingerlings. *Nig. J. Anim. Prod.* 30(2): 265-270.

Obasa,S.O, Afekhowa, J.O., Alegbeleye, W.O. Tolorunju, T.J. 2004. Growth and nutrient utilization of Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) fed with mucuna (Mucuna atterima) seed meal. *Applied Fish. and Aquaculture,* Vol. 1V (1) 37-41.

Olivera, N.M.A., Campos, G.S. Sabido, G.M., Martinez P.C.A. 1990. The use of Alfafa leaf concentrates as protein source in diets for tilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus). Aquaculture 90: 291-302.

Omoregie, E., Ufodike, E.B.C., Umaru, M.S. 1991. Growth and food utilization of *Oreochromis niloticus* fingerlings fed diets containing cassava peelings and mango seeds. *Aquabyte*, 4: 6-7.

SAS (Statistical Analysis System) 1988. A software package for solving statistical problems. V1 200 model SAS Institute Inc. Cavey, N.C.

Webster, D.C., Tiu, L.G., Tidwell, J.H., wyke, P.V., Howerton R.D. 1995. Effect of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth and body composition of sunshine bass (*Morone chrysops X M. saxatilis*) reared in cages. *Aquaculture* 131:291-301.

Wee, K.L., Wang, S. 1987. Nutritive value of Leuceana leaf meal in pelleted feed of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus. Aquaculture* 62:97-108.